

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,979	01/18/2002	Lou Chauvin	83304DF-P	9113	
7	7590 10/23/2006			EXAMINER	
Milton S. Sales			LIN, KENNY S		
Patent Legal S	taff				
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Stree	343 State Street			2152	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/050,979	CHAUVIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kenny Lin	2152			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	<u>ıly 2006</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4t	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and are specified as a specific property of the specified as a specific property of the specified as a specific property of the specified as a specif	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 are presented for examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/26/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. Claim 21 is inoperative since a computer software program is not tangible and lacks utility by itself. Although it may be loaded or stored in a computer readable storage medium or a computer (i.e. when loaded), computer software still lacks utility when not executed since it is not operable by itself. Therefore, the inoperative of the computer program stored on a computer lacks utility.

Claim Objections

Art Unit: 2152

5. Claim 21 is objected to because of the following informalities: It is unclear whether claim 21 is claiming a computer software program or a method since the preamble includes the phrase of "A computer software program having..., said method comprising". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-7, 9-17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smart et al (Smart), US 2003/0208691.
- 8. Smart was cited in the previous office action.
- 9. As per claims 1 and 21, Smart teaches the invention as claimed including a method for providing custom offering for ordering of digital photo services over a communication network among a plurality of photo services providers from an order terminal, comprising:
 - a. Maintaining information with respect to business relationships between said photo service providers and said plurality of business entities ([0116]; table 1, [0095-

0101], [0170-0171], criteria relating to speed/cost/quality of work is the basis for establishing proper business relationship between the client and a printer shop service);

- b. Providing one or more digital images on a digital storage device ([0041]), said digital storage device being associated with one of said plurality of business entities ([0116]; table 1, [0095-0101], [0170-0171]);
- c. Placing a request for goods and/or services at an order terminal ([0084], [0087-0089], [0116]); and
- d. Providing a custom offering for said goods and/or services on a display device at said order terminal based on said business relationship associated with said digital storage device ([0053]; [0077-0078]; [0116]; table 1; [0095-0101], the database directory displays different offering or quality of photos able to replicate at a particular service site, the client is able to select from such offering database directory to perform a photograph printout, the criteria in table 1 are options of services one may select; [0081-0082]; [0088], offering for print services is displayed to the user, the printer service is identified as compatible or a 'match' to user's desire quality of print out; [0042]; [0045-0047] users use the computer terminals to order printouts at a remote print shop service).
- 10. As per claim 10, Smart teaches the invention as claimed including a system for providing customer offerings for ordering of digital photo services over a communication network by a user among a plurality of photo service providers from an order terminal, comprising:

a. A control system for maintaining information with respect to business relationships between photo service providers and a plurality of business entities ([0116]; table 1, [0095-0101], [0170-0171], criteria relating to speed/cost/quality of work is the basis for establishing proper business relationship between the client and a printer shop service), said control system having a communication device for communicating with an order terminal over said communication network ([0044], network interface device inherently available on each of the network nodes) and receiving a request for goods and/or services form said order terminal ([0084], [0087-0089], [0116]), said control system in response to said request providing a custom offering for said goods and/or service on a display device at said order terminal based on said business relationships associated with said digital storage device being associated with one of said plurality of business entities ([0053]; [0077-0078]; [0116]; table 1; [0095-0101], the database directory displays different offering or quality of photos able to replicate at a particular service site, the client is able to select from such offering database directory to perform a photograph printout, the criteria in table 1 are options of services one may select; [0081-0082]; [0088], offering for print services is displayed to the user, the printer service is identified as compatible or a 'match' to user's desire quality of print out; [0042]; [0045-0047] users use the computer terminals to order printouts at a remote print shop service [0170-0171]).

Art Unit: 2152

11. As per claims 2 and 11, Smart teaches the invention as claimed in claims 1 and 10. Smart further teaches that the offering provides a subset of photo service providers form said plurality of photo service providers (Fig 14, item 508; [0077-0078]; [0116]; table 1; [0053], database directory orders the user to select from a plurality of photo printing services, examiner will interpret "subset" as "one or more" photo service providers).

- 12. As per claims 3 and 17, Smart teaches the invention as claimed in claims 1 and 10. Smart further teaches that said digital storage device includes a code which identifies the associated business entity (pg 8, table 1, I/O matching wherein the device attributes or codes are compared to obtain the optimum printing service; [0095-0101], physical location of the service).
- 13. As per claims 4 and 13, Smart teaches the invention as claimed in claims 1 and 10. Smart further teaches that said offering is displayed in a presentation format that is also based on said business relationship ([0081-0082]; [0088], offering for print services is displayed to the user, the printer service is identified as compatible or a 'match' to user's desired quality of print out).
- 14. As per claims 5 and 14, Smart teaches the invention as claimed in claims 1 and 10. Smart further teaches that said order terminal comprises one of the following:
 - a. An automated teller machine
 - b. A photo kiosk
 - c. A personal computer ([0042]; [0045-0047]; Fig2, item 102, 148)
 - d. A wireless imaging device.

Art Unit: 2152

15. As per claims 6 and 15, Smart teaches the invention as claimed in claims 1 and 10. Smart further teaches that said digital storage device comprises one or more of the following:

- a. Optical disc;
- b. Magnetic floppy disc;
- c. Memory card;
- d. Digital camera (Fig 2, item 102).

16. As per claims 7 and 16, Smart teaches the invention as claimed in claims 1 and 10. Smart further teaches that said plurality of photo service providers provide one or more of the following services:

- a. Printing of digital images (Fig 2, where the digital images are printed out at remote printing services);
- b. On-line storage of digital images;
- c. Providing digital storage media containing digital images (Fig 2, item 102, camera inherently has memory to store digital images, further, user need to select camera images from camera's memory to perform print service);
- d. Providing associated goods and/or services with respect to hard copy prints (Fig2, wherein the hard copy prints are supplied at remote printers, item 104 and 106).
- 17. As per claims 9 and 19, Smart teaches the invention as claimed in claims 1 and 10. Smart further teaches that said business relationships are maintained in a services directory by a service

Art Unit: 2152

manager (pg3, [0053], service relationships or service descriptions/information necessary for establishment of such relationship is maintained within service directory; pg 10, [0127], wherein additional service is requested on behalf of the client by consulting its own record of available services, thus the business relationship between the services is maintained in a services directory on the network; [0078-0079], where relationships between different services are maintained in a directory listing in Fig 3).

- 18. As per claim 12, Smart teaches the invention as claimed in claims 1 and 10. Smart further teaches that said subset comprise two or more photo service providers (Fig 2, wherein the system comprises at least two printer vendors; Fig 14 and 15, item 508, the print shop service is an exemplary service, there are plurality of print shop services available over the network offering different quality of prints).
- 19. As per claim 20, Smart teaches the invention as claimed in claim 10. Smart further teaches that said digital storage device further includes application software for controlling said order terminal (pg 4, [0072-0075], wherein the software comprises of XML codes; [0042], where software is loaded into the computer from the computer readable medium, and then executed by the computer).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

20. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 21. Claims 8 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smart et al (Smart), US 2003/0208691, in view of Logan et al (Logan), US 6,493,680.
- 22. Logan was cited in the previous office action.
- 23. As per claims 8 and 18, Smart teaches the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1 and 9. Smart further teaches said business relationships include friendly, neutral, and hostile relationships ([0170-0171]; [0175], an example as shown in Smart teaches user sending request to a remote print shop service to print out high photographs. Since applicant does not explicitly define business relationship in the specification, Examiner will interpret business relationship as relationship between two parties, i.e. requestor and service provider. Additionally, 'shop' has the meaning of "a mercantile establishment for the retail sale of goods or services", thus, the print shop service of Smart offers a business relationship between the requestor and the print shop service. The relationship is friendly because the print shop is providing a desired service to the user; the relationship is neutral because the relationship is based upon a service agreement between user and the service provider, i.e. the provider can offer print outs at a certain range of resolution). Smart does not explicitly each hostile relationships. However, hostile relationship is well known in the art since a particular business agreement (e.g. instructions and steps to obtain services) while friendly to user A may at the same time being unfriendly to user B depending on

the various types of skill level (e.g. computer usage skill, communication, understanding) of the users requesting for services. Logan teach that a customer having a business relationship with services where the customer may become confused or hostile due to the complicated process of obtaining services (col.1, lines 50-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Smart and Logan and include hostile relationship as a possible type of business relationship in order to provide better services to satisfy hostile customers.

Response to Arguments

- 24. Applicant's arguments filed 7/26/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 25. In the remark, applicant argued (1) Smart does not disclose a providing a custom offering for said goods and/or services on a display device at said order terminal based on said business relationship associated with said digital storage device.

26. Examiner traverse the argument:

As to point (1), Examiner previous stated that: Since applicant does not explicitly define "business relationship" in the specification, Examiner interprets business relationship as relationship between two parties, i.e. requestor and service provider. Additionally, 'shop' has the meaning of "a mercantile establishment for the retail sale of goods or services", thus, the print shop service of Smart offers a business relationship between the requestor and the print shop

service (see Smart's teaching of user sending request to a remote print shop to print out high resolution photographs in [0170-0171]; [0175]). The applicants did not raise any objection or argument regarding examiner's interpretation in the remark dated on July 26, 2006. Smart's teachings in [0170-0171]; [0175] hence disclosed a business relationship associated with said digital storage device because services are provided by the print shop to the images in the digital camera. Smart disclosed a method to provide a custom offering for said services on a display device at said order terminal ([0053]; [0077-0078]; [0116]; table 1; [0095-0101], the database directory displays different offering or quality of photos able to replicate at a particular service site, the client is able to select from such offering database directory to perform a photograph printout; [0084], [0087-0089], the browser of the computer provides image retrieving and printing directing services for the user) based on said business relationship associated with said digital storage device (printing services performed based on the image stored in the digital camera, [0170-0171], [0175]).

Conclusion

27. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chui et al, Us 6,657,702.

28. A shortened statutory period for reply to this Office action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,979

Art Unit: 2152

29. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenny Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272-3968. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM to 5 PM Tue.-Fri. and every other Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on (571) 272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ksl October 13, 2006 16

Page 12